| | Case 2:24-cv-00882-DAD-EFB Docume | nt 13 | Filed 12/17/25 | Page 1 of 2 |
|----|--|-------|--------------------|--------------|
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | BRANDON FAVOR, | No | o. 2:24-cv-00882-D | OAD-EFB (PC) |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | | | |
| 13 | V. | OI | <u>RDER</u> | |
| 14 | CITY OF LOS ANGELES CIVIL | | | |
| 15 | ATTORNEY, Defendant. | | | |
| 16 | Defendant. | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 | | | |
| 19 | U.S.C. § 1983. On October 3, 2024, the court ordered plaintiff to pay the fee or file an | | | |
| 20 | application to proceed in forma pauperis within 30 days. ECF No. 5. The court later granted | | | |
| 21 | plaintiff an additional 60 days to pay the fee or file the in forma pauperis application. ECF No. 7. | | | |
| 22 | Plaintiff failed to comply with the order. On January 27, 2025, the court recommended that the | | | |
| 23 | action be dismissed. ECF No. 8. | | | |
| 24 | Plaintiff filed "objections" to the recommendation on February 13, 2025, and, on March 3, | | | |
| 25 | 2025, he filed an in forma pauperis application. ECF Nos. 9, 10. Plaintiff's "objections" | | | |
| 26 | consisted of pages of documents pertaining to his criminal case interspersed with pages | | | |
| 27 | containing inscrutable content handwritten by plaintiff. E.g., ECF No. 9 at 8. Plaintiff averred in | | | |
| 28 | his in forma pauperis application that he received money from four of the six sources listed on the | | | |
| | | 1 | | |

1 form application, that he had \$2700 in a checking or savings account, a company worth 2 \$4,526.72, and bonds worth \$12 trillion. ECF No. 10. At the same time, plaintiff's trust account 3 statement showed a balance of 98 cents and an outstanding obligation of \$143,922.50 in 4 restitution. Id. 5 Taking plaintiff's application at face value, the court denied in forma pauperis status, 6 because plaintiff's representations did not establish that he is unable to pay the filing fee. 28 7 U.S.C. § 1915(a) (the application must show inability to pay filing fee or give security therefor). 8 The court ordered plaintiff to pay the fee or to submit an application showing an inability to pay. 9 ECF No. 11. 10 Plaintiff has filed a new in forma pauperis application, but it suffers from the same defect 11 as the prior application. ECF No. 12 (claiming, e.g., pay from employment of \$620,000,000.00). 12 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 13 1. Within 30 days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall submit the required fees in the 14 amount of \$405.00; 15 2. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this 16 action be dismissed; 17 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff a new Application to Proceed In 18 Forma Pauperis By a Prisoner. 19 20 Dated: December 16, 2025 21 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 22 23 24 25 26

Document 13

Filed 12/17/25

Page 2 of 2

Case 2:24-cv-00882-DAD-EFB

27

28